



Over 1,000,000 JT downloads.
22,000 email subscribers.
See our advertising page:
www.Mesora.org/Advertise

5757
5770
13
YEARS

Dedicated to Scriptural and Rabbinic Verification
of Authentic Jewish Beliefs and Practices

JewishTimes

Volume IX, No. 22...May 28, 2010

WWW.MESORA.ORG/JEWISHTIMES

IN THIS ISSUE

BEHALOTECHA	1-3
DESERT MIRACLES	1,4,5
SELECTING THE ELDERS	6,7
SUFFERING: NOT A MITZVA	8

JEWISHTIMES

OVER 1,000,000 COPIES IN CIRCULATION.
CONTRIBUTE TO JEWISH EDUCATION
AND ACTIVISM FOR WORLD JEWRY:
WWW.MESORA.ORG/DONATE



CANDLE LIGHTING 5/28

Boston	7:52	Moscow	8:39
Chicago	7:57	New York	7:59
Cleveland	8:32	Paris	9:22
Detroit	8:40	Philadelphia	8:01
Houston	7:56	Phoenix	7:12
Jerusalem	7:18	Pittsburgh	8:22
Johannesburg	5:07	Seattle	8:36
Los Angeles	7:37	Sydney	4:40
London	8:44	Tokyo	6:30
Miami	7:47	Toronto	8:29
Montreal	8:12	Washington DC	8:06

Weekly Parsha

Behalotecha

RABBI BERNIE FOX

"And Hashem spoke to Moshe saying: 'Speak to Aharon, and say to him, 'When you light the lamps, the seven lamps shall give light towards the front of the menorah.'"' And Aharon did so. He lit the lamps of it so as to give light towards the

(continued on next page)

The Manna

In order to understand God's objective in creating and providing the manna, we must review the events immediately prior. The Jews traveled to Israel, and God promised its inheritance. No doubts were presented to them regarding their ability to conquer the land. While treading Israel's borders, the people desired to send spies to evaluate the land. God or Moses did not command this. Moses consented to this, for he desired that they see there is nothing to hide. Moses hoped the Jews would abandon their wish to spy the land upon seeing Moses' own conviction that all their requests were complied with forthright (Rashi). However, the Jews insisted and spied the land. After their return forty days later, ten of the twelve spies incited a riot. They terrified the people with the spread of a defeatist position; they felt the current inhabitants were invincible, thereby denying God's word. Along with their heretic opinions and projections, they decided not to take on the conquest.

Due to the Jew's own fears instigated by the spies, they rebelled against God. This rebellion clearly demonstrated their disbelief in God's age old promise to Abraham that they would receive the land. The Jews were then sentenced to roam the desert for forty years until the last of the rebellious people perished.

Question: If the Jews simply did not deserve Israel, why didn't God allow them to reach another land

the Miracles in the Desert

RABBI MOSHE BEN-CHAIM

until the sinners died out? What was the reason God desired the Jews to roam the desert for forty years?

I believe the answer is that the crime of the Jews was very base: their conviction of how reality operates was based only on trust in their own abilities, and nothing else. What is amazing is that after witnessing tremendous miracles in Egypt and at the Reed Sea, the Jews still harbored disbelief in God. They felt God wanted to "kill them in the desert". This confirms Maimonides' words that miracles leave doubt in one's heart. The Jews didn't believe Moses due to miracles. The reason being, miracles lose their significance with their increased frequency. God desired to address the Jews' disbelief. The method God utilized shows the level of intricacy and depth in God's system of justice.

God forced the Jews into a situation (in the desert) where they were solely dependent upon Him for their very existence. He desired to train them in the ways of believing His word. God chose to raise the Jews above a simplistic existence. He wished to address their problem by raising them from a reality of self sufficiency (where God plays little or no role), to the true reality where God's existence is primary in all equations - a reality where God's word is 'more real' than the physical reality the Jews currently banked on exclusively. God accomplished this in a number of ways:

God sustained the appearance of the miraculous manna

The aspect of a miraculous food removed 'understanding' from the Jews regarding the manna's properties. Had He fed them vegetation or animal products; there would be a feeling of familiarity and reliance on the natural procurement of these foods. This would afford security and detract from

(continued on page 4)

(Behalotecha cont. from pg. 1)

Weekly Parsha

JewishTimes

Weekly Journal on Jewish Thought



The JewishTimes is published every Friday and delivered by email. Subscriptions are FREE. To subscribe, send any email message to: subscribe@mesora.org

Subscribers will also receive our advertisers' emails and our regular email announcements.

Contacts:

We invite feedback or any questions at this address: office@mesora.org
Ph(516)569-8888 Fx(516)569-0404

Advertising:

<https://www.Mesora.org/Advertising>

Donations:

<https://www.Mesora.org/Donate>

Content at Mesora.org:**JewishTimes Archives:**

<http://www.Mesora.org/JewishTimes>

Philosophy Archives:

<http://www.Mesora.org/Philosophy>

Weekly Parsha Archives:

<http://www.Mesora.org/WeeklyParsha>

Audio Archives:

<http://www.Mesora.org/Audio>

Interactive, Live, Audible Sessions:

<http://www.Mesora.org/TalkLive>

Database Search:

<http://www.Mesora.org/Search>

Articles may be reprinted without consent of the JewishTimes or the authors, provided the content is not altered, and credits are given.

front of the menorah, as Hashem commanded Moshe. And this was the design of the candlestick: a beaten work of gold; including its base, and including its flowers thereof, it was beaten work; according unto the pattern which Hashem had shown Moshe, so he made the menorah." (BeMidbar 8:1-4)

Parshat Bahalotecha begins with instructions for the lighting of the menorah. The menorah is the candelabra located in the Mishcan – the Tabernacle. The menorah is composed of a central candlestick. From the central candlestick extend six branches. Three branches extend from each side. The above translation corresponds with Rashi's understanding of these instructions. Aharon is told that the candles located on the six branches are to shed their light towards the central candlestick.[1]

There are two obvious difficulties with this section. First, the commentaries are troubled by the placement of these instructions at this location in Sefer BeMidbar. Up to this point, the sefer has primarily dealt with the organization of the encampment in the wilderness. In the immediately preceding chapters, the sefer described the sacrifices offered to initiate the Mishcan. Immediately following this section, the Torah will describe the initiation of the Leveyim – the Levites – into their roles in assisting the Kohanim – the Priests and transporting the Mishcan. What is the connection between the instructions for the lighting of the menorah and the preceding of coming material?

Second, after providing instructions for the lighting of the menorah, the Torah provides a description of the design of the menorah. This description was presented in even more detail in Sefer Shemot. Why does the Torah repeat this description?

Rashi provides a well-known response to the first question. He explains that Aharon was the leader of Shevet Leyve – the tribe of Leyve. The leaders of the other shevatim – tribes – had joined together to offer an elaborate set of sacrifices for the dedication of the Mishcan. Each prince offered an identical set of sacrifices and each was assigned his own day on which to present his offering. But Aharon – as leader of Shevet Leyve – did not participate in these offerings. Shevet Leyve was not assigned its own day. Aharon did not offer a set of sacrifices on behalf of Shevet Leyve. Aharon was disturbed with his exclusion from the dedication process. As a consolation, Hashem provided Aharon with the instructions for the lighting of the menorah. Hashem told Aharon that his shevet would have the honor of lighting the

menorah each day.[2]

Nachmanides asks a number of questions on Rashi's response. We will focus on one of these questions. According to Rashi, Aharon received the instructions for the lighting of the menorah as a consolation for not participating in the offerings of the princes. Why was this specific service selected by Hashem to serve as a consolation? He points out that Aharon was entrusted with a variety of responsibilities in the Mishcan. He was the only one who was permitted to execute the responsibilities. For example, only Aharon or a future Kohen Gadol – the High Priest – can perform the service of Yom HaKippur. Why were these special responsibilities not adequate consolation?[3]

In order to answer Nachmanides' question, we must consider two sets of passages from last week's parasha.



"And the princes brought the dedication-offering of the altar on the day that it was anointed. The princes brought their offering before the altar. And Hashem said to Moshe: They shall present their offering, each prince on his day, for the dedication of the altar". (BeMidbar 7:10-11)

"This was the dedication-offering of the altar, on the day when it was anointed, at the hands of the princes of Israel: twelve silver dishes, twelve silver basins, twelve golden pans. Each silver dish weighing a hundred and thirty shekels, and each basin seventy; all the silver of the vessels two thousand and four hundred shekels, after the shekel of the sanctuary." (BeMidbar 7:84-85)

The first set of passages introduces the section of the Torah that describes the offerings of the princes. Each prince is assigned his own day on which he will bring his offerings to the Mishcan. It seems that the sacrifices and vessels offered by each prince constitute a discrete set of offerings. In other words, over the twelve days that the offerings were brought, twelve separate sets of offerings were presented. However, a careful analysis of these passages communicates a different message. The passages refer to the twelve sets of offerings as "their offering." The implication is obvious. All of the various sacrifices and vessels presented over the twelve days are regarded as a single offering. In other words, the process of bringing this single offering extends over a twelve-day period. All of the various sacrifices and vessels brought over this period merge into a single offering.

(continued on next page)

This idea is reflected in the second set of passages. After the Torah describes the sacrifices and vessels presented by each prince on his respective day, the Torah provides a summary. In this summary, the Torah totals all of the sacrifices and vessels by types. For example, in the passages above, the Torah tells us that a total of twelve silver basins were brought. Why is this summary needed? This summary emphasizes the relationship between the various components of the offering. The Torah is communicating that all of the individual offerings provided on each day are parts of an entirety. All of the individual sacrifices and vessels are parts of a single offering.

Why is it necessary for the Torah to communicate this information? What difference is there as to whether we view each prince's sacrifices and vessels as an individual offering from that specific shevet or as a part of a larger offering?

We can appreciate the importance of this distinction through reviewing the order in which the princes present their offerings. The first prince to provide sacrifices and vessels is the Prince of Shevet Yehudah. He is followed on the next day by the Prince of Yisachar. Once these two princes present their offerings an order is established that guides the remainder of the princes. What is this order?

During their sojourn in the wilderness, Bnai Yisrael's encampment was organized surrounding the Mishkan. Each shevet was assigned a specific location. When the nation traveled, this order was preserved. The nation traveled as a procession of shevatim. The place of each shevet in this procession was based upon and reflected its location relative to the Mishkan where the nation was encamped. As a result, the nation camped and traveled as a system of shevatim. In other words, the camp of Bnai Yisrael was designed as a system of shevatim – with the shevatim functioning as component units within the nation of Bnai Yisrael.

The order in which the princes presented their offerings reflected and was based upon this order – the order in which the various shevatim camped in and traveled through the wilderness. Shevet Yehudah led the procession of shevatim in the wilderness. Accordingly, the first set of offerings was presented by this shevet. Shevet Yisachar followed Shevet Yehudah in the procession through the wilderness. As a result, the second set of offerings was presented by Shevet Yisachar. All of the remaining shevatim presented their offerings in the order in which they traveled through the wilderness.

The order in which the offerings were presented reflected the relationship between the offerings of the various shevatim. In their travels and in the wilderness encampment, the shevatim each functioned as a unit within the overall nation. They were components of a greater entirety – the nation.

The offerings were presented in this framework. Each shevet separately, and on its own day, presented its offerings. But each shevet presented its offerings as a component unit within the entirety of the nation of Bnai Yisrael. In other words, the offerings were not presented by the shevet as an independent social-political entity. Instead, the offerings were presented by the shevet as a component unit within the entirety of the greater unit of the nation.

This answers our earlier question. Why does the Torah emphasize that all of the offerings presented by the individual shevatim were parts of an overall offering? The Torah is teaching us that although the offerings were presented by the individual shevatim, the offerings merged into a single offering of the nation of Bnai Yisrael.

We can now reconsider Aharon's concern. Rashi is not suggesting that Aharon was disappointed that his shevet did not participate in the presentation of offerings. His concern was based upon an understanding of the nature of this offering. In this offering the component shevatim of Bnai Yisrael presented an offering on behalf of the entire nation. Shevet Leyve did not participate. This implicitly excluded the shevet from functioning as a unit within the nation.

Rashi explains that Aharon received instructions for the lighting of the menorah as a consolation for his shevet's exclusion from the presentation of offerings. How did these instructions provide consolation?

Rabbaynu Ovadia Sforno's comments regarding these instructions will help us answer this question. Sforno deals with two issues. First, why is it necessary for the branches to spread their light towards the central candlestick? Sforno explains that this requirement is intended to symbolize an important idea. The nation of Bnai Yisrael is made up of a multitude of individuals. The various members of the nation have different talents and abilities. But in order to enjoy the blessings of Hashem, we must join together in a single mission – service to Hashem. All the candles – from the candle on the extreme right to the candle on the extreme left – must all join together in creating one central illumination. (This is not intended as a trite political statement.) So too, the members of the nation cannot allow the disparity of their talents and dispositions to compromise their commitment to the shared mission of serving Hashem.[4]

Second, Sforno explains the significance of the Torah's review of the menorah's construction. The passages above describe the menorah's design. It is beaten from a single ingot of gold. The menorah is not composed of individual components that are welded together. The menorah's design is intended to reiterate and reinforce the message communicated by the lighting instructions. Like the menorah, the nation must function as a single entity. It



must be unified in its devotion to Hashem.[5]

Now we can understand how Rashi would respond to Nachmanides' criticism. Why was Aharon consoled by the instructions for the lighting of the menorah? The menorah does not only represent the unity of Bnai Yisrael. It explains the basis for the unity. We are not unified merely by a shared history or culture. We are unified by a shared mission. We must all join in the mission of creating light – serving Hashem. The service in the Mishkan was performed by the Kohanim and Shevet Leyve. The efforts of the nation towards the fulfillment of its mission achieved expression through this service. In other words, the most important aspirations of Bnai Yisrael were reflected in the service performed by Shevet Leyve. These services were the actualization of the mission of the nation. They were the element that unified Bnai Yisrael. Shevet Leyve did not participate in the presentation of offerings. But its service represented the element that unified the various shevatim into a single nation. ■

[1] Rabbaynu Shlomo ben Yitzchak (Rashi), Commentary on Sefer BeMidbar 8:2.

[2] Rabbaynu Shlomo ben Yitzchak (Rashi), Commentary on Sefer BeMidbar 8:2.

[3] Rabbaynu Moshe ben Nachman (Ramban / Nachmanides), Commentary on Sefer BeMidbar 8:2.

[4] Rabbaynu Ovadia Sforno, Commentary on Sefer BeMidbar, 8:2.

[5] Rabbaynu Ovadia Sforno, Commentary on Sefer BeMidbar, 8:4.

God's goal of forcing the nation to rely on Him alone. Therefore He created a "miracle food" which, by its very name "manna" (meaning "what is it") the Jews could not find any security. It is also something with which "their fathers were unfamiliar". (Deut. 8:3) This alien feeling about the manna contributed to their feelings of insecurity in themselves, a prerequisite for developing a security in God. We learn from the words in Deuteronomy that people are comfortable with that which their forefathers spoke of. The manna did not carry this sense.

God limited the manna's "shelf life" to one day

This was done to remove any security in the manna itself. Therefore, the essence of the manna must include temporary shelf life. No emotional security could be attached to it. God decreed the manna would rot on the following day.

God caused it to melt each day as the sun warmed it

Seeing the manna lying on the ground all day would provide the feeling of security; "it is here all the time." This is another area in which the Jews would have sought security. Security in the physical was their weakness, which until this point caused them to sin. Their need for physical security would have to be redirected to security in God alone.

God doubled the manna's volume once it was in their homes Friday evening

On Friday, the Jews were commanded to gather enough for that day. Although the manna did not fall on Shabbos, they would have sustenance through the Shabbos. When they did as they were commanded they found that the manna miraculously doubled in size, to sustain them (Exod. 16:5 -Rashi). Their complete confidence would be in God's word. The manna fell each of the six weekdays with just enough for each day, as God promised. Left over manna would become wormy and rot, to combat self-sufficiency. Not so on Shabbos. Manna leftover from Friday through Shabbos remained fresh. The purpose of this was to force the Jews to believe more in God's word than in physical reality and their own securities. All the miracles of the manna described above were to engender faith in the word of God. This integral concept of faith in God's word applies today. We demonstrate this idea by our abstinence in all work on the Shabbos. By doing so, we demonstrate conviction that abstention from work on one day does not threaten our existence and livelihood. God will take care of us, however He does so, even though we may not understand how.

In Deuteronomy 8:3, we read: "He (God) afflicted you and hungered you and fed you the manna, which you didn't know and your fathers didn't know, to show you that not on bread alone does man live, but by all that comes from God's mouth does man live."

The word "alone" teaches us that man should live primarily in accordance with natural law. The purpose of the manna was to show that man's reality - the way for "man to live" - is in the reality of God's word, "but by all that comes from God's mouth does man live." It is clear from this verse that man's existence in the wilderness for forty years was meant to direct his dependency on God alone. The Rashbam also states this when he says, "...you had no "bread in your basket" but your lives were dependent upon Heaven each day".

We see that God's multifaceted manna-plan was required to first strip the Jews of their securities placed in the physical and in their own might, and primarily, to permeate the Jews with belief in God. The manna was used to address those areas where man seeks security. Living in the desert for forty years gave the Jews an opportunity to abandon their flawed emotion of self-trust. This was a great blessing. Their initial, corrupt desire to follow only that which was intelligible, was replaced with trust in God: His word, and His system of divine providence. ■

The Quail

In Numbers, 11:4, we read that the mixed multitude that attached themselves to the Jewish Exodus, committed a sin when they lusted. They cried out, "who will feed us meat?" Even the Jews joined them. They cried, "we remember the fish we ate in Egypt for free", and they recalled other delicacies. In passage 6 they state, "And now our souls are dried, all we see is the manna." Interesting are the following, detailed, positive qualities of the manna. Rashi states this description is God's, contrasting the previous complaint of the people. The account continues with a description of Moshe hearing the people "crying by the household". Rashi states they were crying for the matters of "households", referring to the newly received (Torah) sexual prohibitions of family members. There are many facets to this story. I will focus on how God addresses their cry for meat.

In passage 11:13, Moshe says:

"Where shall I get meat to give to this entire people that cry upon me, saying, give us meat that we may eat?"

God says:

(18) "Ready yourselves tomorrow, and you will eat meat, because you cry in the ears of God saying, 'who will feed us meat, because it was

better for us in Egypt', God will give you meat and you will eat. (19) Not one day will you eat, nor two days, nor five days, nor ten days, nor twenty days. (20) Until thirty days, until it comes out of your noses, and it be a vile thing, on account that you despised God Who was in your midst and you cried before Him saying 'why have we come out of Egypt.'" (21) Moshe responds: "600,000 by foot that I am amidst, and You say 'I will give meat to them and they will eat 30 days?'. (22) If the sheep and cattle be slaughtered, would there be found sufficient? If all the fish of the sea be gathered, would there be sufficient?"

What an amazing response Moshe uttered! God says, "God will give you meat and you will eat"... "Until thirty days", and Moshe questions this? Didn't Moshe see God's miracles first hand? In light of God's abilities displayed via the Ten Plagues, what can possibly be questionable to Moshe regarding God's promise to provide meat for thirty days? God's response to Moshe emphasizes this point, "Is God's hand short? You will see if this occurs." This rare type of response requires understanding.

Let us list the questions:

- 1) What is meant by "Who" will feed us meat?
- 2) What was the Jews' complaint? Why mock the manna, if in reality it was good?
- 3) Why respond to their request and feed them quail, as they seem to be in the wrong?
- 4) What is meant that they ate fish "free"? Rashi says (11:5) "even straw was not given to them free, how then fish?"
- 5) What is the purpose of "Until the quail exits your noses"? Who is making it come out of their nostrils?
- 6) Rashi (11:10) on "crying by the household" states "they cried concerning the sexual prohibitions on family members." How does this relate to our story?
- 7) On "K'misson'nim" Rashi (11:2) states "they were seeking a pretense to escape from following God." The question is why did they need to escape, and why at this time?
- 8) What is Moshe's argument about the cattle and fish being insufficient?
- 9) What is God's response to Moshe, "Hayad Hashem tiksar", "Is God's hand short"?

As a first step to answering these questions, I will note that many times we remain ignorant of truths due to our own, incorrect assumptions. We must be sensitive, not to overlook, assume, or project. We must focus on the Torah's words, which are an exact science. The Torah's words lead us to the questions, and those very same words also answer those very issues. This idea is derived from these verses stated by King Solomon:

(continued on next page)

"If you dig for it like silver, and search it out like a buried treasure, then you will understand the fear of God, and the knowledge of God will you find. Because God gives wisdom, from His mouth come knowledge and understanding." (Proverbs, 2:4-6).

What is meant by the two statements in this passage, "Because God gives wisdom, from His mouth come knowledge and understanding"? It teaches a fine point - two reasons Torah will yield great insights into truths:

1) "God gives wisdom", meaning, the Source of our studies is God - an infinitely wise Creator. This is one reason why we must dig for knowledge with such vigor. Our outlook must be, "there is tremendous knowledge to behold". A sense of adventure must overcome us as we part from daily affairs and step into the endless sea of enlightening thought and ideas. This sense must present itself when each day, we embark upon new studies.

2) The second idea derived from this passage; not only is the Source of wisdom remarkable, but the actual structure of each passage is a great study in itself. This is what is meant by "from His mouth...", meaning, God's articulated words and verses are of the utmost precision. Only a refined sensitivity will drive a Torah student to examine the Torah with such exactitude, thereby uncovering deeper ideas. Let us return to the topic.

What did the Jews say? "Who" will feed us meat. Why was this joined with a ridicule of the manna? The first idea we notice is the Jews' degradation of God. They saw all the miracles, and yet said, "Who will give us meat?" Another later passage alerts us that they addressed God with this statement of "Who". Passage 11:20 reads, "(God said)...on account that you despised God Who was in your midst and you cried before Him saying 'why have we come out of Egypt.' Here, God identifies their crime as an act of degrading God. But why were they despising Him now? They recalled the "free" fish eaten in Egypt, which Rashi denies was factual. Rashi is teaching us that they meant free in another sense, that is, free from Mitzvos. A picture starts to emerge. We begin to witness not only an attack on God, but on the Torah system.

The core issue borne out is the Jews' aversion to the Torah - a new, binding, and prohibitive demand on their formerly "free" lifestyle, albeit as slaves. They remembered (imagined) the fish they ate "free". Yes, "free" of commandments. The Jews rebelled against the Giver of this Torah, but they could not do so directly, as they only said, "Who" would give us meat. Therefore God clearly identifies for the Jews, that it was God who they despised.

Why did they attack the manna? The answer is "displacement". When someone cannot vent his

emotion towards the real object, he attacks an associated replacement. Such was the case with the ridicule of the manna. The Jews disliked the Torah system, but their eyes saw the event at Sinai, and they could not deny reality - the Torah is true, God is real. Therefore, they selected that which represented God's system, the manna, which He provided miraculously. They attacked manna, instead of the commands, as they could not deny the reality of Torah. They said, "we want meat", meaning, we don't want this manna. In truth, they had no problem with the manna. The passages teach us how great it was. (Perhaps this is why the Torah interrupts the story with verses 11:7-9 describing how good the manna really was.) What the Jews meant to say is "we don't want the Torah". This is what Rashi again alludes to when he explains, "crying by the household". Rashi stated they were "crying about the matters of the household", they wished to once again have relations with those now prohibited by Torah law. Rashi (11:2) states, "they were seeking a pretense to escape from following God."

Let's also be mindful of a strange statement. Moshe said that if all the sheep, cattle, and fish were supplied to the Jews, they wouldn't be sufficient. This is impossible! There were only 2-3 million Jews, and the entire oceanic population most assuredly would feed them forever! How can Moshe say this? Examine God's resolve: God says He will comply with the Jews' request, and provide quail for 30 days, until it exits their nostrils. Why comply? The Jews' were in error. God said so, "you despised God Who was in your midst." I ask you, the reader, to now stop, and think about this following question: What reason can there be for compliance with an ill request? Imagine you are faced with such a scenario, would you comply with a poor or sinful request? What grounds would there be for compliance? (Keep in mind, compliance means you prefer another recourse.) Don't read further, think for a moment.

What are the possibilities? Either there are, or there aren't alternatives. If there are none, one may comply because he has no other alternative, or cannot think of one right now. However, these explanations cannot apply to God. If there are alternatives, compliance is not needed. But there is one reason compliance may be engaged...not so much to give the person his request, but perhaps for an ulterior motive.

God in no way intended that the quail satisfy the Jews' desire for meat, as an end in itself. Moshe too understood that the issue was not a problem with food. In his wisdom, Moshe knew they were rebelling against God. This is what caused Moshe to respond to God's promise of quail as he did. Moshe did not doubt that God could provide any amount of food. What Moshe meant was, "food is

not the answer". Moshe knew the oceans contained enough - enough that is, if food is the issue. But the oceans cannot be sufficient if the problem is a rebellion against God. Moshe was asking of God, "food is not the issue, so why give them quail?"

What God in fact was doing, was complying for an ulterior purpose. That is, that the Jews should see for themselves that their complaint for meat is a misdirected attack on God. The only way for them to realize this is looking past their lust for meat. Only after they realize their attachment to meat is an unnatural one, will they be able to stop, reflect, and recognize their problem is truly with God, and the Torah they wish to abandon. This is why God says the quail will exit their nostrils. Not that God is the cause of this, but that their own unnatural desire for meat would propel them into an eating frenzy, until they cause the food to exit their nostrils. As they would feed, their real, underlying emotion would not be satisfied, that being the removal of their new, Torah obligations. They would then keep eating under the false impression that meat is the issue. This was God's plan. To move them past their blinding emotion that meat is their problem. Sforno actually says this: (11:23) "Is God's hand incapable of finding a method for them to despise all foods?" "They will eat the meat with their own free will, even after the enjoyment is gone, until it exists their nostrils, and they will despise it without any control on their free will at all, and thereby they will repent with a repentance of love..." God saw that the only way to show the Jews their true mistake was to first show them that their assumed complaint was baseless.

Moshe said to God, "600,000 by foot that I am amidst, and You say 'I will give meat to them and they will eat 30 days?' If the sheep and cattle be slaughtered, would there be found sufficient? If all the fish of the sea be gathered, would there be sufficient?" God responds, "Is the hand of God short?" What was Moshe's mistake, which demanded this response? It would seem that Moshe was not of the opinion that the method of addressing the Jews' error was to satisfy the displaced emotion. Moshe felt that the method must be to address the true, underlying emotion - their wish to abandon the commandments. Why didn't God choose this approach? We may suggest that an open attack on the true emotion would end in the Jews' further denial.

I tread in deep waters here, I may err, but yet I wonder, what was Moshe's equation? Did he not see this point, that there are times when a direct assault on an emotion will not be fruitful? Did Moshe feel this case was different than all others? That an open attack on the very emotion to abandon God would be fatal? This point requires further study. ■

SELECTING THE ELDERS

RABBI DR. DARREL GINSBERG



There are countless fascinating and (as one friend put it) fundamental episodes in the evolution of Bnai Yisrael in Parshas Behaaloscha. One of these has to do with the incident of Eldad and Meidad and their seemingly aberrant prophecy. While many tend to focus on this unique phenomenon, a more subtle issue emerges when studying what led to this incident.

After Bnai Yisrael express their distaste for the manna, Moshe becomes upset as to the current state of affairs. He notes the nature of their complaint to God, and the difficulty of carrying the burden of the nation. He says to God (11:14):

"I alone cannot carry this entire people, for they are too burdensome for me"

Clearly, Moshe is essentially telling God that there is no way he can do the job alone. God's answer is straight to the point (ibid:16-17):

"God said to Moshe: 'Gather seventy men for Me, from among the elders of Israel, [men] whom you know to be the people's elders, and its

officers, and you shall take them to the Tent of Meeting, and they shall stand there with you. I will descend and speak there with you, and I will make greater the spirit which is upon you, and place it on them, and they will bear, along with you, the burden of the people so that you need not bear it alone'."

At this point, one would conclude that God was setting up an "associate" program, allowing for others to take on some of Moshe's responsibilities. However, a closer look at these verses reveals some interesting questions. For example, what does God mean about transferring the "spirit" from Moshe to the elders (elders refer to talmidei chachamim who were leaders)? And why is it so important that they all gather at the Tent (ohel moed)?

Later on, we see Moshe engaged in the process of gathering these elders. Once assembled at the ohel moed, the following takes place (ibid 25-26):

"God descended in a cloud and spoke to him, and He magnified the spirit which was upon him and He gave it unto the seventy men, [who were] the elders. When the spirit came to rest upon them they prophesied, and they did not cease. Two people remained in the camp; one's name was Eldad and the second one's name was Meidad. The spirit rested upon them; they were among those inscribed, and they did not go out to the Tent, and they prophesied in the camp."

Rashi (ibid 26) offers an important elucidation of the actual selection of the elders:

"Among those chosen for the Sanhedrin, they were all inscribed specifically by names, and by drawing lots. Since the appropriate amount for twelve tribes was six for each tribe, except for two tribes, each of whom received only five, Moshe said: 'No tribe will listen to me to subtract one elder from its tribe'. What did he do? He took seventy two notes, wrote "elder" on seventy and left two blank. He then chose six from each tribe, totaling seventy two. He told them, 'Take your notes from the container.' Whoever chose "elder" was sanctified; to those who chose the empty ones, he said, 'The Omnipresent does not want you'."

So, rather than hand pick these people, Moshe decided to use a random drawing to determine the seventy elders. Why did he choose this method, rather than just ask each tribe to bring forward the best candidates? What would be wrong if he chose more from one tribe than another?

The commentary of the Ibn Erza on the sharing of the "spirit" (ibid 17) offers an opening into this area:

"And know that spirit (ruach) is like knowledge (chachma). And if you give from the knowledge of Reuven to Shimon, Reuven will not be lacking, rather he will remain as he was. This is similar to the candle".

In referencing "the candle", the Ibn Ezra is alluding to an analogy of a lighting a new candle from a lit candle. There is no change in the status of the light source – yet it is able to supply the new candle with light.

The Ibn Ezra is giving us an insight into what God's plan was, and how the instituting of these seventy elders was not a simple matter. God recognized that there would be a tremendous conflict amongst Bnai Yisrael with the introduction of these new assistants to Moshe. The relationship between Bnai Yisrael and Moshe was an intimate one, with the nation in many ways viewing Moshe as a father figure. Nobody could replace him, and Bnai Yisrael would not accept an alternate authority at this point. To simply have seventy talmidei chachamim join Moshe would not work.

(continued on next page)

(Elders continued from page 10)

Weekly Parsha

The first step to overcoming this would be some sort of manifestation that God was endorsing this concept, and that the very authority of these elders emerged directly from Moshe. This is expressed in the idea of "sharing the spirit". There were certain ideas about God that only Moshe, due to his perfection, was privy to. No person alive was able to achieve this level of knowledge of God, reflected in the unique character of Moshe's prophecy – "panim el panim". It would seem, based on the Ibn Ezra, that God communicated some of these ideas that only Moshe had to the other elders. In this sense, Moshe did not "lose out" in the sharing of this knowledge. What did this accomplish? Bnai Yisael (and the elders) clearly saw that the position of the elders was not as equals to Moshe, but as subordinates. Moshe's position was unchanged. At the same time, the ability of these elders to function in their role resulted from Moshe's unique qualities. This would also explain why the prophecy had to take place at the ohel moed. This was the place where Moshe received his communications from God. Having the elders receive the prophecy at that place demonstrated that God endorsed their roles. But with the content "taken" from Moshe, his unique position was retained.

There was another issue that concerned Moshe. He realized that the very selection process could lead to a significant problem. As we see in the upcoming parsha of Korach, there was an undercurrent of mistrust that existed within the nation. This mistrust was expressed with accusations of nepotism and favoritism, hurled at Moshe by Korach, but supported by many amongst Bnai Yisrael. Moshe clearly realized this existed prior to the incident with Korach. He sought to employ a system that would be completely removed from any sense of partiality. As a result, a lottery had to be the only solution. Each tribe would select the greatest talmidei chachamim for this role and be represented equally in the lottery. Yet only seventy could be chosen, not seventy-two. Moshe would have nothing to do with this result, avoiding any possible act of favoritism. Rashi points out that with the removal of the two, Moshe responded that this was the result of God's will. He was showing them that it could not be pure chance that these seventy were selected, while the remaining two were excluded. Clearly, the merits of each individual is what ultimately determined who would be included and who excluded. The key here is that Moshe had no personal investment

in the process, removing himself from any potential accusations. To fall prey to these (unjust) accusations would by definition destroy any potential good offered by the instituting of these elders in their new role.

Looking at this process of the selection of the elders, one can see how many different considerations came into play. On the one hand, there had to be a clear expression that these new appointees were endorsed by God – thus, they were gathered at the ohel moed. At the same time, Bnai Yisrael could not accept any replacement to Moshe. As a result, the very knowledge received by the elders came from ideas Moshe had, via God's prophecy. Finally, Moshe ensured that the entire process was devoid of any sense of favoritism.

THE SHOPPER'S CHOICE

PREMIER ISSUE JUNE 4, 2010

A NEW WEEKLY MAGAZINE OFFERING SAVINGS FROM NEW YORK MERCHANTS IN BROOKLYN, QUEENS & LONG ISLAND. CIRCULATION 10,000

ADVERTISERS CONTACT US BELOW

(516)371-9440 WWW.THESHOPPERSCHOICE.COM

Any act that reflected this would undermine the authority of these elders, and would further inflame the underlying misguided potential for criticism. For some, picking seventy aids to Moshe would seem to be a simple matter. Yet the Torah shows us the delicate balancing act that took place, and how chachma was the guiding principle in bringing about this selection. ■

At Long Island Spine Rehabilitation Medicine we specialize in non-surgical spine care. Jason S. Lipetz, M.D. and Jeffry R. Beer, M.D. are physiatrists, board certified in physical medicine and rehabilitation and fellowship trained in interventional spine and musculoskeletal medicine. Whether you are presenting with acute low back or neck pain or more persistent symptoms arising from spinal stenosis or a herniated disc, your evaluation and treatment will be individualized and evidence based. In our practice you will discover a rare combination of expertise and warmth which contributes to a unique healthcare experience.

Long Island Spine Rehabilitation Medicine, P.C.

825 Northern Boulevard - Suite 105
Great Neck, New York, 11042
(516) 465-8695

801 Merrick Avenue
East Meadow, New York, 11554
(516) 393-8941

Physicians with unique training and experience...

*Providing Comprehensive
Non-Surgical Spine Care*



SUFFERING

IS NOT A MITZVAH

RABBI REUVEN MANN

Many religious people believe that it is a good thing to deprive oneself of physical enjoyments. They base it on the fact that the Torah contains many restrictions in the areas of eating, sexual activity and the like. In some religious circles a person's spiritual level is measured by the extent of his perceived capacity for self denial. The question arises: Is the popular viewpoint which extols the virtue of asceticism in accordance with the authentic teachings of Torah?


The Rambam discusses the issue of bodily and emotional indulgence in Hilchot Deot. He says that it is incumbent on a person to act in accordance with the principle of moderation. This means that we must avoid extremes: that of excessive indulgence as well as of extreme denial. With regard to those who seek to renounce all worldly pleasure as a service to Hashem, Rambam says, "If you should say that since desire, honor and the like are harm-

ful and remove a person from the world I will totally separate from them and go completely to the opposite side and not eat meat, nor marry, nor live in a pleasant dwelling nor wear pleasant clothing but only sackcloth and harsh wool and the like as the idolatrous priests; this too is an evil path and it is prohibited to follow it. One who goes in this path is called a sinner, for it says about the nazir, "and he shall atone for having sinned against his soul". The Rabbis said, "if the nazir who only abstained from wine requires an atonement, how much more so one who denies himself every pleasure...? Thus the Rabbis said, "is it not enough what the Torah prohibited, that you prohibit more things to yourself?"

It is clear from the Rambam that the ideal of asceticism as a religious service is connected to idolatry. Most religions are rooted in the idea that instinctual indulgence is inherently evil and that G-d desires us to be in a state of

privation. This view is contrary to the way of Torah. For Hashem designed man to be a creature of body and soul. In order to fulfill his spiritual mission he must take care of his bodily needs. Deprivation of basic needs puts him in a state of pain and impedes his ability to serve G-d. It is therefore a mitzvah to keep one's physical affairs in order and thus acquire the peace of mind necessary for the proper observance of Torah and mitzvot. It is extremely important to guard one's health as illness and disease are the greatest obstacles to vibrant religious growth. Let us all resolve to pay more attention to proper nutrition and suitable exercise in order to increase our vitality and well being. The person who guards his health and satisfies his bodily and emotional needs in moderation with the intention of becoming thereby a better servant of Hashem is living on the highest level.

Shabbat Shalom



the
JEWISHTIMES
joins

SENATOR JOHN MCCAIN *as he honors*
RABBI REUVEN MANN *at his installation as*
RABBI OF THE YOUNG ISRAEL OF PHOENIX

JUNE 3, 2010

Advertise



NYDesign.com

Corporate Identity Website Design Marketing
Advertising Fund Raising Package Design
Presentations Flash Animations Business Plans

Developers of Mesora & the JewishTimes
516.569.8888 info@NYDesign.com

JewishTimes

The Weekly Journal on Jewish Thought

It's better to give and to receive!

Give: Email a FREE subscription of the JewishTimes to a friend or relative and they'll also receive 2 free gifts at right: our 60 pg book Retrospective and the 32 pg Passover Special edition with astonishing imagery.

Receive: As you help grow our readership, more advertisers place ads. We pass that on to you in the form of your continued, free JewishTimes subscription. Plus, we'll give you these 2 publications! *Invite others today!*

www.Mesora.org/Subscriptions



office@mesora.org
(516)569-8888

JewishTimes

advertising

Mitra Rahimi

NEW YORK

featuring:

EXQUISITE **SAMUEL B**
beautifully unique designer pieces



Select, designer
jewelry at
great discounts.

Visit us online to see
our new collections & prices.

www.MitraRahimi.com

(516)669-4929

"WORK FROM HOME" BUSINESS - NO STARTUP COSTS
SEE OUR "IN-HOME" LINK

Now on Facebook and Twitter

Follow Us

Mesora is on Facebook and Twitter. Join both to meet and chat with other members and receive updates and Torah thoughts from the Rabbi throughout the week. Click the icons on the top-right of this page: www.Mesora.org/Website

